UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,835	08/05/2004	Adam D. Dirstine	977.066US1	6749
	7590	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938	, in the second	HUYNH, THU V		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MIN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		,835	DIRSTINE, ADAM D.				
		er	Art Unit				
	THU V.	HUYNH	2178				
The MAILING DATE of this comn Period for Reply	nunication appears on	the cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this centre of the second of the sec	E MAILING DATE OF ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. In statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the atths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be time. I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the polication to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 28 February 2	2008					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is						
<u>′</u>	<i>7</i> —		nsecution as to the	a marite ie			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the pre	ictice under Ex parie (хааую, 1990 О.Б. 11, т	00 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-26, 31-38</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) i	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>16-26, 31-38</u> is/are reject	cted.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) are subject to res		requirement.					
5, <u> </u>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) include	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None o 1. Certified copies of the prio 2. Certified copies of the prio 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	f: rity documents have b rity documents have b es of the priority docu ational Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv lule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 09/20/06, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY
REOPENED. The new ground of rejection is set forth below. A supervisory Patent
Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:
To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this office action is final): or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 27 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the different between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

/Stephen S. Hong/

two options:

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178

Art Unit: 2178

2. This action is responsive to communications: appeal brief filed on 02/28/08 to application filed on 08/05/04.

- 3. Claims 1-15 and 27-30 are canceled.
- 4. Claims 16-26, 31-38 are pending claims in this case. Claims 16 and 31 are dependent claims.
- 5. All the rejections in the previous office action have been withdrawn as necessitated by the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 16, 20-21, 23-25, 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Cseri</u> et al., US 2003/0046317 A1, filed 04/19/01, in view of <u>Petersen</u> et al., US 2005/0144556 A1, filed 12/31/2003.

Regarding independent claim 16, Cseri teaches a network device comprises:

- at least one processor (Cseri, fig.1; [0020]; personal and server computers);
- a network interface configured to communicate with the at least one processor and a network (Cseri, fig.1, [0020]; connecting to the Internet network);
- an XML document processing module, including a compression module configured to compress XML documents and to convert compressed XML document into text to

Art Unit: 2178

provide back the XML documents (Cseri, [0014], [0020], [0063]; compressing XML document by tokenizing the XML document to produce XML binary formatted document and converting the XML binary formatted document back to the XML document for displaying to a user computer).

However, Cseri does not explicitly disclose the XML documents are compressed valid XML documents.

Petersen teaches XML documents are compressed valid XML documents with elements and attributes in shot tokens (Petersen, [0083]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Petersen's teaching and Cseri's teaching to convert the compressed binary into compressed valid XML, since the conversion would have provided advantage of storing and transmitting the compressed valid XML documents which are in reduced size.

Regarding claim 20, which is dependent on claim 16, Cseri does not teaches XML document processing module includes a decompression module to decompress compressed valid XML document.

Sullivan teaches the XML document processing module includes a decompression module to decompress compressed valid XML document (Sullivan, fig.4; col.4, lines 64-66; decompressing a token XML document to XML document).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Sullivan's teaching and Cseri's teaching to include a

Art Unit: 2178

decompressing module, since the combination would have recreated the XML from the token XML document.

Regarding claim 21, which is dependent on claim 16, Cseri teaches the network device is an embedded device server operable to manage a remote device using XML documents (Cseri, [0020]; server and client).

Regarding claim 23, which is dependent on claim 16, Cseri teaches the network interface includes a web interface (Cseri, [0020]-[0021]; in order to transmit, access XML web document in the Internet, the network interface must includes a web interface).

Regarding claim 24, which is dependent on claim 16, Cseri teaches the network interface is a wireless network (Cseri, [0021]).

Regarding claim 25, which is dependent on claim 24, Cseri teaches the network device is included in a cell phone (Cseri, [0020], [0115], hand-held devices, mobile phones).

Regarding independent claim 31, Cseri teaches the steps of:

- a communication network (Cseri, [0020], [0021]; communication network for connecting systems to the Internet network);

Art Unit: 2178

- at least first and second network devices to communicate over the network (Cseri, [0020], [0021]; the network device comprises personal computer, hand-held devices, server computers, main frames, etc., wherein each network device includes:

- o at least one processor (Cseri, [0020]);
- o a network interface to communicate with the at least one processor (Cseri, figure 1, [0020], [0021]).
- an XML document processing module, wherein the XML document processing module includes:
 - a compressing module configured to compress XML documents and to convert compressed XML documents into text so as to provide back the XML document (Cseri, [0014], [0020], [0063]; compressing XML document by tokenizing the XML document to produce XML binary formatted document and converting the XML binary formatted document to XML document for displaying to a user computer).

However, Cseri does not explicitly disclose the XML documents are compressed valid XML documents.

Petersen teaches XML documents are compressed valid XML documents with elements and attributes in shot tokens (Petersen, [0083]) and the compressed valid XML documents can be reconstructed to original XML document to display to user (Petersen, [0090]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Petersen's teaching and Cseri's teaching to convert the compressed binary into compressed valid XML, since the conversion would have provided

Page 7

advantage of storing and transmitting the compressed valid XML documents which are in reduced size.

Regarding claim 32, which is dependent on claim 31, referring to rationale relied to reject claim 31, the limitation "the first network device is an embedded device server, the first network device operable to receive a device configuration file as a compressed valid XML document and decompress the document" is included. The rationale is incorporated herein.

Regarding claim 33, which is dependent on claim 31, Cseri teaches the first network device is operable to transfer to a status message as a compressed valid XML document to the second network device (Cseri, fig.3B, [0063]; a system sends the compressed XML document).

Regarding claim 35, which is dependent on claim 31, Cseri teaches the network is a wireless communication network (Cseri, [0021]).

8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cseri and Petersen as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Girardot et al., US 2003/0023628 A1, filed 04/09/01.

Regarding claim 17, which is dependent on claim 16, Sullivan does not explicitly disclose the XML document processing module includes a deflate compression algorithm.

Girardot teaches deflate compression is popular used to compress a document (Girardot, [0009]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Girardot's teaching and Cseri's teaching to compress the XML document using deflate compression algorithm, since the deflate compression is popular one.

9. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

<u>Cseri, Petersen and Girardot</u> as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of <u>Tycksen</u>,

<u>Jr.</u> et al., US 6,189,097 B1, filed 03/24/97.

Regarding claim 18, which is dependent on claim 17, Sceri does not explicitly teach compression module includes a binary to ASCII text encoding algorithm.

Tycksen teaches converting binary data to ASCII text (Tycksen, col.9, lines 7-15).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Tycksen's teaching and Sceri's teaching to include a binary to ASCII text encoding algorithm, since the combination allowed to convert the XML binary data in to ASCII text in order to provide the XML document to the user.

Regarding claim 19, which is dependent on claim 18, Sceri does not teaches the binary to ASCII text encoding algorithm includes using base-64 encoding algorithm.

Tycksen teaches the binary to ASCII text encoding algorithm includes using base-64 encoding algorithm (Tycksen, col.9, lines 7-15).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Tycksen's teaching and Sceri's teaching to include a

binary to ASCII text encoding algorithm, since the combination allowed to convert the XML binary data in to ASCII text in order to provide the XML document to the user.

10. Claims 22, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sceri and Petersen as applied to claims 16 above, and further in view of Ma et al., US 2005/0063575 A1, filed 09/22/03.

Regarding claim 22, which is dependent on claim 16, Sceri does not explicitly disclose the network interface includes a serial port.

Ma teaches network interface includes a serial port (Ma, [0074]; a serial communication network).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Ma's teaching and Sceri's teaching to include a serial port, since the combination would have connected systems using many types of communication network.

Regarding claim 34, which is dependent on claim 31, Sceri teaches the network is wired or wireless satellite network (Sceri, [0019], [0020]). However, Sceri teaches does not explicitly disclose the network is a serial communication network.

Ma teaches network is a serial communication network (Ma, [0074]; serial wireless network).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Ma's teaching and Sceri's teaching to include a serial

Art Unit: 2178

wireless network, since the combination would have connect system using many type of communication network.

11. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sceri and Petersen as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Hsu et al., US 2004/0205158, filed 02/24/03.

Regarding claim 26, which is dependent on claim 16, Sceri teaches the network is a wireless local area network (WLAN) (Sceri, [0019], [0020], network LAN and is wired or wireless). However, Sceri does not explicitly disclose the network device is included in a WLAN computer card.

Hsu teaches network device is included in a WLAN computer card (Hsu, [0093], laptop includes WLAN card).

It would h have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Hsu's teaching into Sceri's teaching to include WLAN computer card, since the combination would have connected systems using many type of communication network.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-26, 31-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants argue with respect to claims 16-17, 21, 23-25 that Cseri does not teach a converting the compressed XML document into compressed valid XML document (Appeal Brief, pages 11, 15).

However, the combination of Cseri and Petersen teaches such limitation as explained in the rejection above.

Applicants argue with respect to claims 18-19 that Tycksen does not teach compression module includes a binary to ASCII text encoding algorithm (Appeal Brief, pages 1-17).

Cseri teaches converting a binary data to XML document in order to display the XML to users. Tycksen teaches converting a binary to ASCII text encoding algorithm. Therefore, the combination of Cseri and Tycksen would have converted the binary formatted XML to ASCII text for display to the users' computer.

Applicants argue with respect to claims 20, 22, 26, 31-35 that Cseri does not teach a converting the compressed XML document into compressed valid XML document (Appeal Brief, pages 17-20).

However, the combination of Cseri and Petersen teaches such limitation as explained in the rejection above.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record, listed on PTO 892 provided to Applicant is considered to have relevancy to the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2178

Applicant should review each identified reference carefully before responding to this

office action to properly advance the case in light of the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thu V. Huynh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4126. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thu Huynh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2178

May 25, 2008